

**Notes from NWCOS AM working group meeting
March 16, 2006**

Attending: T. Wright, Geoff B., Jeff C., Rick H., Dean G., Wes M., Tom G., Reed M., Jeremy C., Tim N., Brad P., Marianna R.

1. Talk about project-level EAs assessing impacts of project-level AM.
2. Talk about risks. First, acknowledge that there is a risk involved and that there might be failures in learning. However, BLM will gauge the risk when approving the project. But also talk about the advantage of making real-time changes instead of waiting for an RMP amendment to fix a problem. Mention that risks could ask to be mitigated as part of the Project-level AM Plan. Off-site mitigation or other benefits to the resource could be built into Project-level AM Plans.
3. Reference how goals and objectives in the RMP will also be looked at in addition to Standards or in the context of Standards. Build more into the intro section to explain this.
4. Define a little better (without getting too specific) the possible role of the multi-stakeholder group in each step in the process.
5. Add in about how there would be time limits for group input.
6. Balancing the level of detail versus flexibility in an AM plan is still an issue. More time can be spent on discussing this issue.