

OHV

#1.

Limited to existing trails and roads. Use Adaptive Management

The refined proposal is: To continue to use existing trails and roads and have BLM use proven scientific methods to determine where vehicle use is causing unsustainable damage to the resource. Then using AM, first use mitigation, before designing a reroute of the section of trail/road in question, that allows the continued use of the resource at the current level. After proving that the use is unsustainable trail/road closures could be posted.

Supporting Data: NEPA requires the BLM to analyze existing data available in the public domain, not to use "It's a National trend" as justification for a change in public policy.

Opposing Data: None has been supplied, although I suspect that some sectors might waver slightly in their unconditional support.

#2.

4 Mile radius of a lek closures.

This has been covered by the Energy sector and I do not have any more energy for it at this time. I know this will disappoint the group to not have another discussion on this matter but my decision is final, maybe!

#3.

Access to BLM Land.

Require BLM to reestablish public access to all the public land.

The refined proposal is to grant all the stake holders an opportunity to have access to public land and I agree that sometimes I will even walk in silence to enjoy it.

Supporting Data: Thousands of acres of public land should not be inaccessible because of correctable access issues. The OHV community would gladly volunteer their time to help BLM offset their budget constraints if BLM will supply the dozer and the fuel.

Opposing Data: None has been supplied except BLM not wanting me to under take this unsupervised.

I apologize for the format of this document, my legal staff was at a Christmas party. Happy Holidays and thank all of you for your time spent with NWCOS in trying to create a better future for us all.